

1 ~~FAC - Okxana Vega - ELAC~~

Open Public Forum R1 FLIGHT: A

Room: ~~E3-308~~

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 9AM

Ballot Due: Soon please!

Affirmative: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership DWML

Negative: Alex Lee

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
1	Daniel Wu	2	29

2	Michael Lee	3	26
--------------	-------------	---	----

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
1	Alex Lee	1	29

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

Gabriel John
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

NEGATIVE
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Daniel:

- * Good sense of Confidence, seemed calm; no erratic hand motions
- * Should speak a bit louder and faster

Alex:

- * Great volume, great speed, great diction and control.
- * Make less hand motions; seems jittery or overactive.

Michael:

- * Need more volume, speed, and confident tone - match your opponents.
- * Don't take long pauses or breaks - continue talking until you latch onto a significant argument.

13

Arik Schneider - ModernBrain

Open Public Forum R1 FLIGHT: B

Room: E3 525

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 9AM

Ballot Due: Soon, please!

Affirmative: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership CSSC

Negative: Ethan Liu

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
1A	Cloris Shi	3	25
2A	Seth Chang	1	27

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
N	Ethan Liu	2	26

**Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.**

Low Point Win? ____

Arik Schneider
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Modern Brain
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

UNCLOS veto

- 1) Econ ↓
- US reluctant
to expand
↓ Econ

∅ explanation/
evidence

- Econ decline ∅ connection

2) China!

- Will lead
to War
- UNCLOS
will stop

Islands are

power projection
platform

- UNCLOS ↑
War

- SQ is America
∅ standing

- We are already
headed into War

3) Seacables

- Millions of dollars
on honor
- Only protected
by an 1864 telegraph
treaty

∅ connection to
UNCLOS

- UNCLOS covers

- Still no comment

- Critical
infrastructure
- Hacker

1) China

- ITLOS already
rejected China's
claim
- UNCLOS removes
US military advantage
- Use ability
- Allies under attack

- UNCLOS ↑ War

ITLOS

Decker rates

↑ b/c pollution

Oil spills
- States needed

2) Oil spills

- Air pollution
- UNCLOS would
expand

Rare in Arctic

- Oil Spills
happen

- ISA supports

Room: E3 557

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 9AM

Ballot Due: Soon, please!

Affirmative: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership AYHZ 2nd

Negative: Wilshire Academy DHJJ

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
2	Ava Ye	3	25
1	Helaine Zhao	1	27

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
2	Darren Hwang	2	26
1	Janice Jin	4	24

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Eric Orozco
JUDGE'S SIGNATUREEast Los Angeles College
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

- speed
- Prove benefits outweigh cost
 - Keeping citizens safe
 - cost of safety
 - Trafficking ← how weighs against
 - benefit security
 - Economic benefits
 - Global leadership
 - help US interests / int efforts
 - also boost economy

- timer
- Environmental benefit
 - Provides certainty against Russia
 - If we don't, a world war will start
 - already close with China

- Is US #1 in security?
- US second-top carbon producer
- no direct evidence on savings from neg
- cost-benefit framework
- contradiction in security by neg

- Climate lawsuits - exact amount questioned
- Threatened to fines (would cost billions)
 - Technology
 - Territorial disputes
 - S. China Sea
 - cross
 - value team that saves most money
 - strong military = no threat
 - use saved money to improve security
 - would only get part of Arctic
 - already have solid global leadership
 - Just before China
 - mentioned by military
 - saved most money
 - aff went overtime in rebuttal
 - have better framework

- S China Sea
- US has best economy
- ran through timer
- - - -
- need for national security
- improvement to economy
- neg did not fit framework
- overtime arg can be cut-off

Grand Cross

- Problem won't be solved by UNCLOS
- countries won't work together
- - - -
- Evidence for climate lawsuits provided
- aff did not completely fulfill criterion
- not contradicted in security argument
(not needed now, but maybe in future)

Room: E3 5592018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: 9AM****Ballot Due: Soon, please!****Affirmative:** Kudos College of Youth
Leadership AJIG**Negative:** Kudos College of Youth Leadership
AZJM

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
Team A Aff	Annie Jiang	2	28
Team A Aff	Isabel Gan	1	28.5

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
Team B Neg	Alen Zhang	27	3
Team B Neg	Jevon Mao	25	4

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

- Good point
- Lots of facts
- Great speaker
- organized / very Good.

- Good Counterpoints
- Good stats.

Room: E3 521

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 9AM

Ballot Due: Soon, please!

Affirmative: Wilshire Academy RKDNNegative: Kudos College of Youth Leadership
RGAT

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
1	Roy Kim	3	27	1	Ryan Geng	4	27
2	Daniel Noh	1	28	2	Alan Tan	2	28

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Jen Blazina
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

ModernBrain
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Roy

Alan

Daniel

- Clear, good body language
- hard to frazele
- ~~easy to frazele~~
- ~~asked for evidence so~~
- ~~often details conde bute~~
- ~~and takes away from argument~~
- ~~held self well~~
- ~~well prepared notes~~
- ~~well prepared notes~~

- asking for evidence so
- often details conde bute
- and takes away from argument
- held self well
- "powerful" speaking

I liked the environmental aspect but
that tied in poorly

bringing up other sides
that criterion slowly degrades trust
in it

* was a close tie because both sides failed to explain all points a brought that up on
both, but deciding point was forward thinking *

Personal

neg

- Drilling in environment → pollution, no idea how bad a spill would truly be
- China says US not in UN so it's irrelevant
- China engaged w/ Venezuela
- 200 nmi → 12 nmi on continental shelf
- Leaves open to WMD,

positive

- US is most powerful - it sign & agrees, gets more power
- US & Russia help w/ full control of these routes & emotional fuels
- mineral resources
- bring other countries like China into trade war
- Not No more trade prices
- full of businesses b/c high shipping (no people buy)

good starting facts, emotional fuels

neg

a good w/

pos. the fuels & is the power speed not a fear of evidence tactic

Rebuttal Cross-X

- alt: evidence for arguments
- neg: ... here's 4000 pages
- neg: clarify 1st contention?
- alt: history of Russia vs. US border dispute
- alt: US > China > Russia need UN to keep power can be overruled easily

rebuttal (neg)

- World leader, not need boost b/c have military policies
- No modern mention of Arctic trade route issue
- Shipping fee argument - not explain how UN solve it
- No great benefit of shipping route

Rebuttal (pos)

- Environment not relevant b/c doesn't mention US economy impact
- Getting more continental shelf, not less (600 nmi, 672 nmi)

Cross-X

- alt: convince judge?
- neg: judge never specified---
- neg: strongest arg?
- alt: US vs Russia, might start massive war
- alt:

rebuttal (neg)

- One no fees? REALLY? (no explanation)
- World leader "non-unique, unnecessary argument"
- More risk over reward

rebuttal (pos)

- Not to be traded b/c no criterion
- Didn't argue w/ our criterion of saving \$ & they agree w/ us
- Don't sign = not forward thinking - Russia upday in Syria?
- FUTURE MATTERS MORE (are they not explained about Russian war, might = 60x)

!!! good point

Good cross-X

- alt: do you still not a criterion
- neg: whatever benefits global peace of world (they didn't really go over it coherently. Makes sense retrospectively but did not explain in the beginning)

Room: E3 521

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 9AM

Ballot Due: Soon, please!

Affirmative: Wilshire Academy CSKANegative: Kudos College of Youth Leadership
AHDZ

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
<u>2</u>	Clara Son	<u>1</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>2</u>	Austin Hong	<u>3</u>	<u>27</u>
<u>1</u>	Kriste An	<u>2</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>1</u>	Daniel Zheng	<u>4</u>	<u>26</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____


 JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Modern Brain
 JUDGE'S AFFILIATION
Clara

- Clear and logical most of the time
- good use of humor, professional and a good temporary break up

Kriste

- Word choice was limited in some parts - words constantly repeated
- Very good at attacking the other side

Austin

- Definitely nervous w/ cross X, but tried the hardest. I'd recommend keeping a few more notes from other side

Daniel

- ~~very~~ Excellent job in collaborating with Austin
- opening speech did read more like a historical summary (later ones did not)

clearly timed thru the pre-prepped speeches and made sure it lined up perfect (down to the second)

good job on trying to defend the environmental aspect itself. Nervousness did not impact vote, but did impact the logical order of the facts and arguments

Replies: best speech ever!!

Pos: position of speaker

- Neg UN resolution? Treaty (Afoot?)
- Environment
 - Oil is bad for arctic esp
- spills, natural gas, pollution
 - High seas unalienable
 - Ratification from US nat'lty by inhibiting
Navy + intel to allow ^{illegal} ~~unseen~~ trade

both breeds flaws

- Pos
- repeated words
 - Very proper
 - She awkwardly stated it
 - me - Clara, not facing opponent at all
 - Takes her
 - Not a fan of being told constantly what to do
 - Judge! Judge!
- Neg
- Reading a historical essay
 - nervous
 - st repetitive argument
 - caught off guard
 - Cross 1+2: VERY good 2nd cross X
 - caught at equal new end of 2nd
 - Austin didn't hear me at all
 - held themselves together well even at attack
 - Tired VERY hard

- Pos
- what should UNICLOSSE chge to allow US to succeed
 - it could limit China from getting economic influence over economic overreach on islands
 - with criteria for debate?
 - neg: bad environment, US power
 - - extra ↓ environment, oil, city
 - alt: strongest argument?
 - neg: extra drilling + ab maritime ops
 - alt: repeat impacts
 - neg: drilling → climate chge
 - → city + warming

- rebuttal 1
1. Drill in arctic - 90 bbb → hurt environ
 2. boost A + fees? → US pay royalties actually to ISA (intl secured authority), 10's, 100's B
- 2i. nuclear conflict? Lots on so abar not working. Using 9-line, no way respecting it
- won't help US against China

- rebuttal 2
- Would invest in deep sea ~~argument~~ mining
 - get value from staying out

Cross 3 deep sea drilling is relevant b/c it's how we'd get minerals

Positive

- US should accept UNICLOSSE should accept since US many to find sector progress
 - 1. Resources: land, better resources
 - fishy + mineral land / rights
 - 2. Trade UNICLOSSE increases trade & prices on party will protect from hefty fees
 - trade smoother, cheaper, more money
 - 3. Settles territory conflict, guidelines boundaries
 - 2 specific regions to clear up business
 - Trade + B
- Cross 2
- alt: rebuttal 2nd arg?
 - neg: fees goes up & trade not increased
 - alt: S China sea issue?
 - neg: islands allies of US china attacking
 - alt: why are we obligated to protect them?
 - neg: we should help them
 - alt: obligated? why need to fix to succeed
 - neg: NEED them as allies
 - alt: only way to fix by breaking UNICLOSSE?
 - alt: how relate to US, not accede to help them, not succeed
 - neg: other islands + China signed UNICLOSSE

- Ships salty about fees too
- Many used to save environment - mining actually HELPS environment (minerals = & price renewables)
- No. consequences of breaking UNICLOSSE (what about China...)
- but gets tens of level for resources. South sea actually not an issue...
- ACTUALLY aims to prevent oil sea pollution (spill fun)
- forces countries to adopt laws, but clean environ + & cost w/ UNICLOSSE

- says neg is all over the place
- - oil - drilling imp, we broke it down
- says drilling irrelevant
- - try to S. China sea no relation to debate

Room: E3 525

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 9AM

Ballot Due: Soon, please!

Affirmative: Kudos College of Youth Leadership SSEJ

Negative: Aveson Global Leadership Academy
APKE

<u>Pos</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>RK (1-4)</u>	<u>Points (30-1)</u>
------------	-------------	-----------------	--------------------------

<u>Pos</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>RK (1-4)</u>	<u>Points (30-1)</u>
------------	-------------	-----------------	--------------------------

1A Sunny Sun 1 27

24 Amelia Pinto 4 25

24 Ellie Jiang 3 25

1N Katie Evenfield 2 26

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win?

And Lohr
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Modern brain

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Law of the Sea

CB-analysis

- ↑ military → war w/ china
- UNCLoS ↓ risk of conflict
- Ø way to prove china will stop down
- Ø be able to fight w/out reservations
- Only reason thing is escalating is we aren't in UNCLoS
- UNCLoS allows deescalation

SCS becon

- multiple econs impacted

C1) Irresponsible

- Danger
- Can't stop vessels in our own waters
- most deny Article 298
- Delayed approval

L2) More Arctic drilling

- Gain access
- Rupture permafrost
- Compensate Ø drill until UNCLoS
- ↓ life

C2) Energy Industry

- Control of energy
- ↓ trade deficit
- ↓ hurts econ, sec.

3) Human Trafficking - Can't investigate

- UNCLoS Article 99

↓ Coast Guard can prevent

Ø continuation

C1) Either lawsuits or failure - Can't negotiate in int'l law - Can't protect own waters - Ø 298

C2) Catastrophic environmental harm

- UNCLoS Ø increase env.

LISA protects environment - Ø guaranteed success
- Ø total treaty "environmentally sound"

4 Aaron Rovinsky - Emerson

Open Public Forum R1 FLIGHT: B

Room: E3 507

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 9AM

Ballot Due: Soon, please!

Affirmative: Magnet Academy GSCS

Negative: Kudos College of Youth Leadership
JSZX

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
1	Gyan Shrivastava	2	27
2	Chris Song	1	28

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
1	Joy Sun	3	26
2	Zhian Xu	4	22

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Emerson

- Aff 1: prepare more substantive questions for cross-examination
- Aff 2: use more speaking time- you only used 3 minutes out of 4
- Really nice rebuttal!
- Aff: use more evidence to link the status quo pollution to eventual extinction
- Neg 1: stay within the scope of this debate; pollution in other countries is irrelevant
- Neg 2: use more speaking time- used less than 3 minutes
- Neg 2: be less rude in your responses; doesn't reflect well on your team
- Neg: make sure you're paying attention to the opponent's evidence- you skimmed over a lot of it like the pollution evidence

3 Aaron Rovinsky - Emerson

Open Public Forum R1 FLIGHT: A

Room: E3 507

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 9AM

Ballot Due: Soon, please!

Neg 1 Affirmative: Aveson Global Leadership Academy CWLF				Aff 2 Negative: Kudos College of Youth Leadership KGJW			
Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
2	Cooper Westphal	2	27	2	Katelyn Gan	4	25
1	Luca Fassi	1	28	1	Julianne Wu	3	26

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Aff
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Emerson

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

• Neg 1: don't tell me you don't know how to pronounce something

• Both teams: know your cases better!!

• Aff 1: Work on case timing
• Aff: don't argue with your partner about what you did or

• Neg 2: try to cover all the opponent's points - dropped contention 2

• Both teams: make sure you're watching the time!

• Neg: use more quantifiable impacts
- more evidence-based

• Aff: use more evidence to back the China-US tensions argument

• Neg 2: don't end with "I guess"

Room: E3 557

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 9AM

Ballot Due: Soon, please!

Affirmative: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership JLLW *Neq*

Negative: Magnet Academy AHBF 2nd

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
2	Jeffrey Liu	4	25

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
2	Aditya Hari	1	28

1	Luke Wu	2	27
---	---------	---	----

1	Benjamin Fan	3	26
---	--------------	---	----

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Eric Orozco

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Earl Los Angeles College

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

- 3:26
- World peace and environment
 - oil spills: cannot afford for drilling
 - ice spills more complicated
 - national security to coast
 - drug trafficking
 - would allow terrorist trade routes
 - how would oil spills benefit
 - attempts to stop China have failed
 - US already has many environmental laws
 - no clear link to economy
 - soft power will not help
 - criterion: lives and environment
 - how ↓ spills?
 - other countries provide

- Quality of life
 - US seen as an aggressor
 - would decrease tensions, ↑ security
 - would give US more power
 - regulations save ocean
 - financial benefits
 - guidelines would prevent exploitation
 - would promote soft power
 - human trafficking
 - would ↑ coast guard power
 - spills are inevitable
 - permits limit oil spills
 - acid rain, global warming ↓
 - ↓ subs, ↑ satellites
 - traffickers would have to reach shore, can be captured
 - rare earth elements would boost economy
 - permits
 - who not mine for elements?
- 3:26

China can't over the world

- US can mine without UNCLOS
- terrorists can launch missiles to shores

belief in goodness of humanity

does not name

can't stop terrorists

say not possible

- criterion was global warming, not
about about China

- oil spills can't be stopped

- can already mine, without royalties

- satellites not reliable

- mining would increase power

- - -

- neg dropped social concerns arg

- China stated willing to cooperate

- countries can't follow everything

- US pollutes more than everyone combined

- neg doesn't understand soft power

- - -

- US already exploiting other countries

- UNCLOS makes more efficient

- can get more from S China Sea

- - -

- terrorist arguments stand

- satellites take much less time

- royalties have a maximum

- criterion was human benefits

- oil spills can be contained

Susanna Wallwork - ModernBrain

Open Public Forum R1 FLIGHT: B

Room: E3 553

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 9AM

Ballot Due: Soon, please!

Affirmative: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership JKSJ

Negative: Nova 42 Academy AKRC

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
—	Justin Kung	3	25

—	Stephanie Jing	4	24
---	----------------	---	----

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
+	Andrew Kim	2	26

+	Ryan Choi	1	28
---	-----------	---	----

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Susanna Wallwork
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

MODERN BRAIN
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

31 - AH - Stanley Wing - Mirman

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only Tournament

Open Congress
section: 1

Room: E3 150

R1

Round Start: 9AM

Ballot Due: Soon, please!

Order	Code	Speaker	Topic / Time	Rank (1-5)	Rate (25-1)
1	AG-158	Raymond Jiang			
2	AG-101	Aaron Zhai			
3	AG-151	Larry Shi			
4	AG-145	Justin Hong			

Susanna Wallwork - ModernBrain

Open Public Forum R1 FLIGHT: A

Room: E3 553

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 9AM

Ballot Due: Soon, please!

Affirmative: Nova 42 Academy ZSAP

Negative: Kudos College of Youth Leadership
CLTL

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
neg. (1)	Zahmen Siyed	2	27
neg. (4)	Andy Pan	4	25

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
pos. (2)	Clinton Lau	3	26
pos. (1)	Tony Lu	1	28

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Susanna Wallwork
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

MODERNBRAIN
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Room: E3 511

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 9AM

Ballot Due: Soon, please!

Affirmative: Nova 42 Academy NCBC

Negative: Kudos College of Youth Leadership
AASG

Pos Name RK (1-4) Points (30-1)

2 Nathan Chu 2 29.7

1 Brian Chen 1 29.8

Pos Name RK (1-4) Points (30-1)

2 Alan Alexander 3 28.6

1 Susie Gu 4 27

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Susie pun made in cross-x when they say source is not credible, trustworthy

Neg has great ① companion

Dont Debate in cross-x

2

Diana Alvarez - ELAC

Open Public Forum R1 FLIGHT: A

Room: E3 511

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 9AM

Ballot Due: Soon, please!

Affirmative: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership DWCLNegative: Emerson Community Charter School
MRKC

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
1st	Danielle Workman	3	28
2	Chloe Leong	1	29.3

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
2	Marcus Razo	2	28.5
1	Katie Cohen	4	27.5

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

4
4

rep 2

3

4
4

3

2
2

G3

2
2

- dash - impact comparison
- the prep time
- timers

Aff - mins impact comparison and is good on the line by line

30 seconds

45 sec used